App. F. IX-4.

Previous References

Legis 8-31-246
" 4-30-60, 63, 64, 68 & 78

1931 32 (Legis)

File No. 8-31

Serial Nos. 114 18

Later References

PRESERVED

(11)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Two.

Part B for November 1931

SUBJECT.

Petition of 6.10.31 from Mr. Mohd Nabi Khan requesting that the balance of the amount due by him may be recovered from him in monthly instalments of Rs 250 or 30/—

---

18) 2

Mr D. N. Trenkner Deputy Comptroller

No. P 2433-4 of 3.11.31.

States that the amount has to be fully recovered and that the petitioner may be allowed to pay in instalments at Rs 100/- p.m. provided he furnishes sufficient security for the payment of the amount due

Mr. Mohd Afzal
19.XI.31

General and Revenue Secretariat — Legi File No. 8 of 1931.

Serial No.

Petition dated 6.10.31

From

Mr Abdul Nabi Khan

Referring to G.O. of 20.4.31 directing that he is liable to pay a sum of Rs 1136-4-9 being the arrears of motor vehicle road cess & states that the said amount includes the sum of Rs 568-4-6 payments already made and requests that the order for recovery may be for Rs 568-4-6 only and that the latter may be ordered to be recovered in monthly instalments of 25 cv 30

1) According to G.O. No D6718-20 Legi 4-30-78 dt 20-4-31 the petitioner was to pay a sum of Rs 1,136-4-9 (Vide last but one para of G.O. at page 9) and the order also states that it is the extra charge. (Vide also note at page 5 in Legi file No 8-31; 27

The point that the amount ordered to be recovered inclusive the amount already paid by him at 3/pie per mile was urged in his previous

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7 30

1484

Legn File No. 8 of 193 /. | General and Revenue Secretariat

petition urging a reconsideration of the G.O. (Vide para 4 of petition dated 6.8.31 Flag C) the petition was rejected.

As regards the request to pay in instalments at Rs 25 or 30 per month; it would take over two years (being 38 months @ Rs 30 or 45 months @ Rs 25 per month) the B. N. Parmanabhiah who has made a similar request to pay his dues Rs 1185/ in monthly instalments at Rs 100/- (Vide Legn file 38-30-9) Shall write the usual card acknowledging petition

10/31

2) The amount of Rs 1136·4 is the extra amount that the petn has to pay i.e at 3 pies per mile which is the deficit to be recovered. This is not the total amount leviable at 6 pies per mile.

3) As regards instalment payment being allowed to pay at Rs 100 per month provided the petnr furnishes sufficient security if this has not already been taken

Gen Secretary

4)) agree

Ce 15/10/31

Ce 23/10

5) [illegible] Ce 26/10/31

GENERAL SECRETARY 16 OCT. 1931 No. 2761

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 26 OCT. 1931 No. 3219

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 8 of 1931

Serial No

Draft Libel with reference to paras 2 to 5 supra

Done 27.10.31

Secretary

Done the 29/10

GENERAL SECRETARY 29 OCT. 1931 No. 4467

31 OCT. 1931 CIVIL & LEV-SECTT.

15 No. P 2433-4 01 3.11.31.

The date shown in Computation Copy of Petitioner.

State that the amount has to be fully recovered & may as requested be permitted to be paid in Instalments at Rs 100 p.m.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 02553—50,000—GPB—8-7 30



BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Petitioner:- Abdul Nabi Khan, Proprietor, Jayalakshmi Motor Service, Tumkur.

1. The petitioner is the proprietor of the Jayalakshmi Mot-or Service, Tumkur.

2. He had been ordered to pay extra road-cess of Rs.4267-6-0 in No.J.C.165/29-30 dated 18-2-1930 of the office of the District Magistrate, Tumkur, on the ground that his bus No. 1854 was 1½ tons.

3. On further correspondence in the matter, the Government decided in the order No.6718-20-Legis-4-30-78 dated 16/20th April 1930, that in the absence of other data, 100 miles per day would be a fair estimate and that accordingly he has been directed to pay a sum of Rs.1136-4-9 for the years 27-28 and 28-29, calculated on the above basis at pies 6 per mile.

4. The petitioner in his application dated 24-9-1931 addressed to the District Magistrate, Tumkur, pointed out that he had already paid road-cess at the usual rate of pies 3 per mile and that while calculating the extra road-cess at pies 6 per mile, the amount actually paid at pies 3 per mile should be deducted from the above sum of Rs.1136-4-9 and that the resulting sum of Rs.568-4-6 only should be recovered from him by instalments of Rs.25 or 30 a month.

5. The District Magistrate in reply to the above-mentioned application of the petitioner has given an endorsement stating that the application of the petitioner is rejected and that the petitioner might approach the Government if necessary.

6. The petitioner has herewith enclosed a copy of his application and the endorsement of the District Magistrate stated above, for favour of perusal.

7. The petitioner most humbly begs to submit that the

the calculation is incorrect in as much as the amount of road-cess already paid by him at pies 3 per mile has not been deducted from the amount of Rs.1136-4-9 claimed by Government at pies 6 per mile. This mistake is patent and is manifestly prejudicial to the petitioner. The petitioner therefore humbly prays that Government be pleased to make the necessary correction in the calculation and pass orders that a sum of Rs.568-2-6 only, is leviable from the petitioner.

8. The petitioner further begs to submit that on account of the great financial stringency and fall in trade and business, he is not in a position to pay the amount in one lump-sum. He therefore prays that Government be pleased to take these factors into consideration and allow the petitioner to pay the said amount of Rs.568-2-6 in monthly instalments of Rs.25 or 30

Sd. S. Abdul Rabi Khan.

To

The District Magistrate,

Tumkur District, Tumkur.

Sir,

I beg to submit the following few lines for you kind consideration.

I was ordered to credit a sum of Rs.4320-11-5. as per No.J.C.165/29-30 dated 22-2-30 and after my appeal to Govt. I have been asked to pay a sum of rupees. 1136-4-9. as per G.O.No. 6718-20-Legis.4.30.78 dated 16/20-4-3 communicated with your office Me mo No. L. 5907/30-31 dated 28-4-31.

In this connection I beg to bring to your kind conncideration that the cess levied is @ 0-0-6 per mile but the cess paid by me@ 0-0-3 has not been taken in to concideration and dedected and the correct balance struek. The pemit has been taken by me after crediting the cess @ 0-0-3 per mile. I therfore requst your honor to kindly go through the accounts once more and recommend to Government for the levy of cess @ 0-0-3 per mile i.e $\frac{1}{2}$ the cess that I am now liable to pay which will come up to Rs. 568-2-6.

Further I requst you to kindly take into consideration the present situation of tightniess of money market and allow me to pay the dues by monthly instalments of Rs 25/- or 30/-.

I beg to remain.
Sir.
Your most Obidient servant,
S/D T. Abdul Nabi Khan.
Proprietor Jayalaxmi Motor Service, Tumkur.

Copy.

J.P. 4/31-32.

Office of the District Magistrate,
Tumkur District,
Dated, Tumkur, the 2nd October 1931.

Reference:-

Petition dated 21-9-31 from Mr. Abdul Nabi Khan, Proprietor Jayalakashmi Motor Bus Service, Tumkur, praying to address Government to reduce the amount of arrears due from him in respect of his bus service and to allow him to pay up the arrears by instalments etc.,

O R D E R.

Mr Nabi Khan the petitioner is informed that his petition is rejected. His attention is drawn in this conection to the the notice dated 3rd September 31 issued to him from this office. He should pay up the arrears out standing against him immediately or approach Government in the matter.

S/Ds Georg Mathen.
For District Magistrate,
Tumkur District.

Copy.

5

G.R.S. App. F VI G-2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

UCA.S

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Sec. Legis

File No. S-31

Serial No. 16

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 3/11/31

Signed by .....

Read—

G.O. No. R 718-20 Revision dated Legis 4-30-78 of 20-4-31 from directing the recovery of Rs 436-9-9 from Mr Abdul Wahikhan Proprietor, Jaya Lakshmi Motor Service, Arsikere levying Extra Charge due by him in respect of his motor services.

Read No R 1435 Legis 8-31-6 dated 4-9-31 from Mr Abdul Wahikhan of Arsikere, praying to reconsider his order passed as above

May this be

(i) Printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Order Thereon No.

6

Petition dated 9.10.31
from Mr Abdul Wahid Khan
praying that the amount
ordered to be recovered
includes payments already
made by him and
requesting that the
balance which comes
to Rs 568-4-6 only may
be directed to be
recovered in monthly
instalments of Rs 25 or 30

Order No.:- 2433-4

Considered that the
amount of Rs 1136-4-9
is the exact amount that
the petitioner has to pay
over and above the
amount represented to
have been paid already
and that it has to
be recovered

As regards the request-
ed prohibited to grant

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. App F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

the amount in instal
ments
~~per month~~; Civil-duties
that he may be allowed
to pay at Rs 100/- per
month, provided he
furnishes sufficient
security ~~if this has~~
~~not already been taken~~
for the payment of the
amount due

Yrs
Asst Secy to Govt
Civil Dept
27/10/31

W. No. for Accountant
Nil Comptroller to Govt

Copy to petitioner

Done
27/10/31

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read :—

Government Order No.P 6718-20/Legis 4-30-78 dated 20th April 1931 directing the recovery of Rs. 1136-4-9 from Mr. Abdul Nabikhan, Proprietor, Jayalakshmi Motor service Tumkur being extra charge due by him in respect of his Motor services.

Endorsement No.P. 1435/Legis 8-31-6 dated 4th September 1931 to Mr. Abdul Nabikhan of Tumkur rejecting his request for reconsideration of orders passed as above

Petition dated 6th October 1931 from Mr. Abdul Nabikhan stating that the amount ordered to be recovered includes payments already made by him and requesting that the balance which comes to Rupees 568-4-6 only may be directed to be recovered in monthly instalments of Rs. 25/- or 30/-

O R D E R No.

Dated Bangalore the 31st October 1931

Government direct that the amount of Rs. 1136-4-9 is the extra amount that the petitioner has to pay over and above the amount represented to have been paid already and that it has to be recovered.

As regards the request to be permitted to pay the amount in instalments Government direct that he may be allowed to pay at Rs. 100/- per month provided he furnishes sufficient security for the payment of the amount due.

For Secretary to Government
General Department.

To

The District Magistrate, Tumkur District.
The Comptroller to Government.

c n.